ESSAY

Ctrl+Alt+Deceit

Keys to Unlocking a Safer Digital Democracy

Jacob Robert van Winkle

Marxe School of Public and International Affairs, Baruch College
January 2024

INTRODUCTION

In the digital age, our society is a web of information, a blend of truth and falsehoods that mirrors our complex times. Within this digital landscape, the rise of sophisticated disinformation campaigns has not only skewed the truth but also jeopardized democracy and personal liberty. Insights sharply illuminate the vulnerabilities in our digital world, emphasizing the pressing need for comprehensive strategies to confront these complicated challenges.

This essay seeks to unravel the complexities of disinformation campaigns, scrutinize the accountability of tech platforms, and explore the relationship of privacy and expression on digital platforms. It poses a critical research question: **How can we effectively address disinformation, tech platform accountability, and corporate influence to safeguard democracy and individual freedom in the digital age?**

In response to this question, the essay poses the following thesis: **A comprehensive, multifaceted approach that includes regulation, educational initiatives, technological advancements, and collaborative efforts is essential for addressing disinformation campaigns, ensuring tech platform accountability, and diminishing corporate influences, thereby safeguarding democracy and individual freedom.**

THE SOPHISTICATION OF DISINFORMATION CAMPAIGNS

The pervasive nature of disinformation campaigns requires immediate action to safeguard our democracy. Digital literacy is an indispensable component of this endeavor. As reported by Kirchgaessner, his research reveals the sophisticated nature of these campaigns:“The investigation reveals extraordinary details about how disinformation is being weaponised by Team Jorge, which runs a private service offering to covertly meddle in elections without a

trace." (Kirchgaessner, 2023). This statement highlights the urgent need for educational programs emphasizing digital literacy, specifically in identifying, evaluating, and understanding the mechanisms of disinformation and digital propaganda.

ADDRESSING DIGITAL INEQUALITY AND CORPORATE INFLUENCE

In addressing digital inequality and the pervasive influence of corporate interests in shaping digital landscapes, it's essential to look at the work of Croteau, Hoynes, & Childress, alongside McChesney. They focus on the political economy of media, highlighting how economic and political factors shape content production, distribution, and consumption. These authors emphasize the role of power and control in media systems, illustrating how these structures perpetuate inequality and impact public discourse. McChesney's work on the political economy of communication shows the disproportionate influence of economic forces and corporate interests, resulting in unequal access to information, digital resources, and representation for individuals.

Addressing these concerns necessitates a multifaceted approach. Firstly, there's a need for policies that reduce economic barriers to digital access, ensuring that marginalized and underserved communities are not left behind in the digital revolution. This aligns with McChesney's call for a media system that serves democratic values, emphasizing the importance of diversity, independence, and equal access in media.

Secondly, more human oversight in algorithm processes is critical. As McChesney argues, the concentration of media ownership and the corporate influence over internet policies can lead to digital spaces that prioritize corporate interests over public good. By advocating for human intervention in algorithm processes, we can mitigate biases, enhance content quality, and ensure that digital platforms serve a wider range of societal interests, not just those of the economic elite.

THE CHALLENGE OF TECH PLATFORM ACCOUNTABILITY

While big tech companies like Facebook, as repeatedly stated by Mark Zuckerberg, should be part of the solution, they cannot be the sole solution (The Facebook Dilemma, 2018). A comprehensive approach involving multiple stakeholders is essential. Kirchgaessner's insights into the methods employed by Team Jorge highlight the shortcomings of current measures: "The methods and techniques described by Team Jorge raise new challenges for big tech platforms, which have for years struggled to prevent nefarious actors spreading falsehoods or breaching the security on their platforms." (Kirchgaessner, 2023). This point emphasizes the need for policy recommendations urging tech companies to strengthen their security frameworks and develop robust systems to counteract disinformation and malicious activities.

HEALTH AND ETHICAL CONCERNS OF DIGITAL MEDIA

In addition to the challenges posed by disinformation campaigns and tech platform accountability, the digital age is full of unintended health consequences. Narayanan, in "Understanding Social Media Algorithms," highlights that algorithms, despite their intentions, can lead to unintended consequences such as the amplification of divisive and viral content. This amplification prioritizes engagement optimization at the expense of rational thinking and user well-being. As we consider the broader implications of digital media on society, it's clear that platforms must prioritize ethical considerations to ensure their algorithms do not exacerbate health issues, including mental health. The internet's impact on mental health, with symptoms like online addiction and loneliness, calls for changing media regulation to focus on promoting healthy online behaviors, digital literacy, and addressing excessive digital use.

PRIVACY AND EXPRESSION IN THE DIGITAL SPHERE

In the context of our comprehensive approach to safeguarding democracy and individual freedom, it is essential to address the balance between online privacy and freedom of expression in the digital age. As highlighted by Irina Raicu in Johnson's article, "The Internet gives people a false sense of security about speaking freely, and the fallout ultimately scares others out of saying anything at all." The internet's allure of free expression can create a false sense of security, deterring individuals from voicing their opinions.

To encourage responsible digital conduct and open dialogue, educational initiatives become useful. Programs can equip individuals with the knowledge to navigate the internet effectively while understanding the consequences of their online actions. Additionally, to maintain a secure digital environment, it is important to choose policies and technologies that support civil discourse and protect free speech. By emphasizing this aspect of the digital landscape, we ensure that our multifaceted approach considers not only disinformation campaigns and tech platform accountability but also the fundamental values of privacy and expression that underpin a thriving democracy.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Regulation of Social Media Platforms

- Stricter regulations on social media platforms are imperative. Transparency in algorithms, data collection practices, and the display of metrics like followers or likes is crucial. These measures will demystify online influence, protect users from deceptive practices, and ensure a level playing field in the digital age.

Protection of Free Speech and Privacy

- Safeguarding free expression online, while balancing privacy and security, is a complicated and vital task. Laws must clearly define harmful speech, provide redress mechanisms, and protect individuals from unwarranted surveillance or data breaches, thereby fostering a safe digital environment for all users.

International Collaboration Against Disinformation

- The transnational nature of digital threats demands a unified global response. By using international agreements and collaborations, nations can collectively combat election interference, disinformation campaigns, and cyber threats, making the digital world more secure and trustworthy.

Enhanced Cybersecurity Measures

- Mandating cybersecurity standards across sectors is essential to safeguard against data breaches and hacking. Promoting the development and adoption of secure communication tools will ensure that personal and professional communications remain confidential and protected.

Educational Programs

- Education is a cornerstone in combating disinformation and promoting digital literacy. Integrating a digital literacy curriculum in schools, focusing on critical thinking, online safety, and the understanding of digital footprints, will empower the next generation. In addition to school curriculums, educational programs should be built into the media platforms themselves. Public awareness campaigns and professional development for educators will ensure that all layers of society are equipped to navigate the digital world safely and wisely.

Addressing Digital Inequality and Corporate Influence

The current digital age is shaped by the corporate interests that often influence internet policies. McChesney, in “What is the Elephant in the Digital Room” points out the role of corporate interests in shaping internet policies within a political economy. This corporate influence can lead to digital inequality, where access, representation, and fairness are not evenly distributed across the digital sphere. Echoing Narayanan’s insights, there’s a pressing need for more human oversight and intervention in algorithm processes to ensure content quality and relevance. Addressing these issues involves efforts to reduce economic inequality in the digital realm.

Technological Solutions

- Investing in the development of identity verification tools, secure communication platforms, and advanced AI and machine learning technologies for disinformation detection will fortify the digital infrastructure. Transparency tools for social media will provide users with insights into data usage, content recommendation, and online activity monitoring, promoting an informed and vigilant user base.

Legal Framework, Oversight, and Platform Regulation

- Modernizing laws like the Fairness Doctrine and the Telecommunications Act to suit the digital age will provide a legal framework to address contemporary challenges. Aiming for digital platforms to present diverse viewpoints, maintain algorithm transparency, and cultivate a balanced and fair digital discourse is essential. An approach involving government oversight and platform self-regulation is required. Implementing mandatory algorithm auditing, holding platforms accountable for the content they amplify, and promoting ethical guidelines and content moderation standards will ensure a digital ecosystem that is secure, fair, and respectful of user rights.

CONCLUSION

Navigating disinformation, privacy concerns, and the need for digital literacy in the modern age requires an effort from all stakeholders involved. The insights from Kirchgaessner and Johnson shed light on the complicated challenges we face in the digital age. From the sophistication of disinformation campaigns that threaten the integrity of our democratic processes to the fostering of online communities that promote civility and constructive discourse, the path forward is complicated. The policy recommendations offer a roadmap to address these challenges. By advocating for stricter regulations on social media platforms, enhancing cybersecurity measures, fostering digital literacy, and reviving and adapting old laws, we can begin to fortify our digital infrastructure. Furthermore, a collaborative approach, involving government oversight and platform self-regulation, will ensure a digital age that is respectful of user rights and conducive to a well-informed and discerning population. In embracing these strategies, we can start a journey towards a digitally literate society, with the knowledge and tools to discern truth from falsehood, uphold our democratic values, and navigate the digital realm with confidence and responsibility.

REFERENCES

Johnson, C. (2015, March 12). Cycle of silence: How the Internet changed public discourse. Deseret News. Retrieved from https://www.deseret.com/2015/3/12/20560292/cycle-of-silence-how-the-internet-changed-public-discourse#in-many-ways-the-internet-has-opened-new-avenues-for-the-masses-to-talk-about-the-issues-they-care-about-but-at-the-same-time-the-shaming-that-can-come-with-certain-viewpoints-might-be-keeping-many-silent

Kirchgaessner, S., Ganguly, M., Pegg, D., Cadwalladr, C., & Burke, J. (2023, February 14). Revealed: the hacking and disinformation team meddling in elections. The Guardian. Retrieved from https://www.theguardian.com/world/2023/feb/15/revealed-disinformation-team-jorge-claim-meddling-elections-tal-hanan?utm_term=63ec66dcc737379cc5d32397d7b33b6e&utm_campaign=GuardianTodayUK&utm_source=esp&utm_medium=Email&CMP=GTUK_email

Bogost, I. (2018, January 30). All followers are fake followers. The Atlantic. Retrieved from https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2018/01/all-followers-are-fake-followers/551789/?utm_source=newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=atlantic-daily-newsletter&utm_content=20180130&silverid-ref=MzEwMTkwMTExNDY1S0

Frontline. (2018, October 29). The Facebook Dilemma: Part One [Video file]. Retrieved from https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/frontline/film/facebook-dilemma/

McChesney, R. W., & Nichols, J. (2021, November 30). The Local Journalism Initiative: a proposal to protect and extend democracy. Columbia Journalism Review. Retrieved from https://www.cjr.org/business_of_news/the-local-journalism-initiative.php

McChesney, R. W. (2008). The Political Economy of Media: Enduring Issues, Emerging Dilemmas. Monthly Review Press.

Croteau, D. R., Hoynes, W., & Childress, C. (2021). Media/Society: Technology, Industries, Content, and Users (7th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc. (pp. 3-9, 21-25) and Media and Ideology (pp. 213-252)pp. 121-170)(pp. 253-296)(pp. 381-414)

McChesney, R. W. (2013). Digital Disconnect: How Capitalism is Turning the Internet Against Democracy. The New Press; Illustrated edition.

Narayanan, A. (2023, March 9). Understanding Social Media Recommendation Algorithms: Towards a better informed debate on the effects of social media. Knight Institute. Retrieved from https://knightcolumbia.org/content/understanding-social-media-recommendation-algorithms